

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699,178	10/31/2003		Lin R. Higley	OBC-132	1034	
7590 03/13/2006				EXAMINER		
Philip H. Schl	azer		CHUO, TONY SHENG HSIANG			
Energy Conver				APTIBUT	DAREN AND COCO	
2956 Waterviev	w Drive		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Rochester Hills	. MI 4	8309	1746			

DATE MAILED: 03/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	•
щ	ŀ
. /.	1
''	•
	9

		Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			/699,178	HIGLEY, LIN R.				
			aminer	Art Unit				
			ny Chuo	1746				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appears	on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .						
·	•	2b)⊠ This actio	on is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
• —	Claim(s) 1 is/are objected to.							
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or ele	ction requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	•						
	Applicant may not request that any obje							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	<del>-</del> 7						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
2) Notic	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:								

Art Unit: 1746

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 8, the word "form" should be changed to "from". Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Singh et al (US 2001/0009740) in view of Kinn et al (US 2002/0160259). The Singh reference teaches a nickel metal hydride battery comprising a positive electrode including a nickel hydroxide active material, a negative electrode including a hydrogen storage alloy active material, and an alkaline electrolyte comprising potassium hydroxide and lithium hydroxide (See paragraph [0008] & [0028]). However, the reference does not expressly teach a separator that has a hi-pot resistance greater than 400 volts, an ionic resistance less than 15 ohm-cm, an absorbency between 30% and 50%, an absorbency between 35% and 48%, an absorbency between 38% and 46%, a hi pot resistance greater than 500 volts, an ionic resistance less than 12 ohm-cm, or an ionic resistance less than 10 ohm-cm. The Kinn reference teaches the same separator with the same properties listed above. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of

Application/Control Number: 10/699,178 Page 3

Art Unit: 1746

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Singh nickel metal hydride battery to include the Kinn separator because the Kinn separator has enhanced wettability and strength that would improve the performance of the battery.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tony Chuo whose telephone number is (571) 272-0717. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Th 3/8/06

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER